

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,084	01/15/2002	Takaya Sato	0171-0811P-SP	2914
	7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			LE, HOA VAN	
	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1752	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/045,084	SATO ET AL.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hoa V. Le	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN COND void abandonment of this applic by a timely filed amendment whi	OITION FOR ALLOWANCE cation. A proper reply to a	n		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partner of the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	the final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. See MPEI 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee. The appropriate extension feether final Office action; or (2) as see	P on fee ee under t forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or			ing the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amen	dment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place	e the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newl	у		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and an ow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:	•	-			
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 2-4.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1,5-13 and	<u>15-27</u> .				
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer					
0. Other:					
	HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER	Hop V. Lo			
	Hoa Van Le	Hoa V. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/045,084

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed language "consisting of" would require further consideration and search. It would be late at this state of the prosecution to change the scope of the claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment is not entered or considered. The arguments based on it have a little to no value.

HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER